

Learning Insights ISSUE 10 NOVEMBER 2020

In this issue:

Neobanks & PayTech Firms Struggle With Compliance & Service As Times Get Tough

The EU's Record-Breaking Social Bonds Issue & The Labeled Bond Market

Neobanks & PayTech Firms Struggle With Compliance & Service As Times Get Tough

Digital challenger banks and PayTech firms have had a difficult year. The Wirecard accounting scandal and bankruptcy damaged investor appetite for this previously popular corner of FinTech and, as the pandemic-led recession has ravaged economies, customer complaints and compliance issues have increased. As FinTech firms grapple with the regulatory requirements of the finance sector, investors, users, and regulators are growing increasingly concerned about their performance, reliability, and stability. However, with better tools and investment in customer service, these concerns could quickly be laid to rest.

It has been an unusually challenging year for payments and FinTech banking startups. From bankruptcies to mounting customer ire, digital challenger banks (or "neobanks") and PayTechs have struggled in the face of regulatory and economic headwinds. While the sector is likely to bounce back, it is perhaps a time for reflection at companies that have not yet had to grapple with the possibility of failure.

From glory to gloom

The year started well for Monzo, Revolut, N26, Wirecard, and a host of other European consumer-focused FinTech firms (the US banking market has, according to Deloitte's The DNA of Digital Challenger Banks report, been a notable laggard in digital adoption, spawning few viable digital challenger banks).

Against a benign economic backdrop, venture capitalists were eager to pour cash into promising and fast-growing financial firms with decent tech credentials, and consumers, after ten steady years of economic expansion and job growth, were willing to experiment with nontraditional banking options.



Deloitte. The DNA of Digital Challenger Banks. February 2020. Data is taken from the UK Current Account Switch Service (CASS), indicating whether switchers transfer their banking to an incumbent or challenger bank.

info@intuition.com

By the end of the first quarter, however, this happy set of circumstances had begun to unravel.

One huge blow to the industry came in April when accounting firm KPMG reported serious financial irregularities at German PayTech darling Wirecard. As the scale of the Wirecard fraud was revealed, confidence in the industry took a knock – although no other FinTech firms stand accused of the type of accounting fraud that was allegedly widespread at Wirecard, reputation matters in finance and the sector lost some of its luster.

A second set of problems arrived on the heels of the coronavirus pandemic. As economies shut down and recessions hit, valuations for previously celebrated challengers such as Monzo plunged in the face of cash burn, huge losses, and mounting consumer issues.



Squaring the circle

As is common with startups, most digital challenger banks and PayTech firms have struggled to generate profits. While their customer numbers have skyrocketed over the last few years, most neobanks have struggled to translate their customer growth into cashflow. Accenture's Digital Banking Tracker reported that, in 2019, the average annual income per customer for neobanks in the UK was £9, compared to nearly £270 for incumbent banks. This has acted as a drag on potential profitability.

What's more, as digital challenger banks' customer numbers rose, so did their costs – particularly the costs associated with fraud monitoring, completing know your customer (KYC) processes, implementing acceptable anti-money laundering (AML) procedures, and offering the levels of customer service that users expect of their financial services providers.

In response to rising costs, some FinTech firms engaged in cost-cutting. While cost rationalization is important, this had the unfortunate effect of – in some cases – undermining the quality of their customer service. As a result, the last few months have seen a spike in customer complaints – particularly around the issue of frozen accounts.

According to the *Financial Times*, the height of the lockdown saw many challenger bank customers faced with frozen accounts – a Facebook support group for these unfortunate users called *Monzo Stole Our Money* now has more than 5,000 members, and Revolut has seen a record number of complaints related to inaccessible funds this year, according to online complaints service Resolver.

Investigations by UK newspaper *The Guardian* suggest that accounts are most often frozen when fraud detection software identifies an unusual pattern of transactions. Some users, for example, reportedly saw their accounts frozen over government support payments related to the pandemic.

When financial institutions are alerted to potentially fraudulent transactions, they must investigate the unusual activity, and often freeze the account while the process is completed. While this is true of all financial institutions, at many neobanks, it is difficult for affected customers to get in contact with the institutions to resolve the problem due to a lack of customer service personnel. Frustration with poor service and difficulties in getting accounts unfrozen, therefore, underlies many of the customer complaints now circulating in the media.



While the number of users who have their accounts frozen is small in comparison with neobanks' millions of customers, publicity around the issue may discourage customers from switching to these institutions or entrusting them with substantial sums. Already, average account balances at digital challenger banks are much lower than those at incumbent banks – Accenture reported their average deposit balance fell to just £260 per customer in the UK in 2019 – suggesting that customers are still reluctant to trust these institutions with their salary deposits. If these challengers cannot rebuild customer trust and obtain a greater wallet share, profitability will remain elusive.

The path forward

How can digital challenger banks and PayTech companies navigate a way forward after a difficult year?

For many commentators, the key is building better relationships with customers. Customers turn to FinTech firms because they are able to eliminate many of the pain points of dealing with traditional institutions – inconvenience, paperwork, and high fees.

While this is a good foundation for a relationship, startups must nurture those relationships with consistent, high-quality customer service supported by an effective web of backend technology. Better fraud detection software could help reduce the incidence of false positives and Al-powered systems could help shore up customer service weaknesses. The key is to build trust – the kind of trust that will encourage customers to put more of their money into these institutions and, ultimately, drive an improvement in the bottom line.

Intuition Know-How has a number of tutorials that are relevant to PayTech and banking compliance issues:

- Payments An Introduction
- PSD2 & Open Banking
- Digital Money & Mobile Payments
- Payments Systems (Coming Soon)
- Financial Regulation An Introduction
- Global Anti-Money Laundering (AML) (Coming Soon)
- Europe Anti-Money Laundering (AML)
- UK Anti-Money Laundering (AML)
- UK Cybercrime



The EU's Record-Breaking Social Bonds Issue & The Labeled Bond Market

The European Union's inaugural social bonds issue set a global demand record in October – the multi-billion-euro, two-part offer was nearly 14 times oversubscribed. Some observers hailed this success as a testament to the strength of the sustainable finance market, while others argued that investors were attracted primarily by the fact that the bonds are backed by the full faith and credit of the AAA-rated EU. Most agree, however, that the introduction of a new, safe-asset social bond is likely to further stimulate the rapidly growing labeled bond market.

Against the backdrop of an unprecedented year, the EU's first-ever social bonds issue has been an unparalleled success. The €17-billion offer – representing the largest-ever bond issuance by the European Commission (EC) – drew orders of more than €233 billion from a mix of global fund managers, central banks, insurance and pension funds, and others.

What accounts for investor enthusiasm for the EU's social bonds, and what does this mean for the future development of the labeled bonds market?

The EU's blockbuster bond

The EU's October 2020 social bonds issue consisted of two bonds, a €10-billion, 10-year bond, and a €7-billion, 20-year bond. Strong investor appetite saw the bonds achieve relatively attractive terms – the 10-year bond was priced at 3 basis points (bps) above mid-swaps and the 20-year bond was priced at 14 bps over mid-swaps.

Although it's hard to compare directly, the spread on the new bonds is estimated to be 1 and 2 bps above the market spread for existing EU bonds for the 10-year and 20-year tranches respectively, making them relatively attractive in a yield-starved market. This accounts for some of the success of the issue.

What are labeled bonds?

The category "labeled bonds" includes green, social, and sustainable bonds, and other types of debt instruments that seek to address environmental, social, and governance (ESG) issues.

Green bonds, for example, are debt instruments that raise funds which will be used to finance environmentally beneficial or "green" projects or business activities.

Similarly, social bonds raise funds for social projects that benefit communities and the broader society.

The term "labeled bond" properly applies only to those instruments that have achieved a green or sustainable "label" through a recognized certification process. In practice, however, many use the term to refer generally to ESG-linked bonds.

Another key part of the attraction, however, is that the bonds represent a joint debt issue by the EU – the first since the bloc announced its record-setting pandemic recovery deal – and thus enjoy the EU's top-notch credit rating. Against the backdrop of a global recession, such high-grade credit has been increasingly scarce, making this issue highly attractive to investors.





European Commission. European Union EUR 17 billion dual tranche bond issue due October 4th, 2030 and 2040. October 2020.

The bonds were formally issued by the EC under the new SURE program (temporary Support to mitigate Unemployment Risks in an Emergency), which aims to finance EU-wide efforts to ameliorate the negative impacts of the coronavirus pandemic on communities.

As the first of many planned SURE program bond issues – the EC had announced earlier in October that it would be financing the entire SURE program with up to €100 billion in social bonds – the October 2020 offer was widely seen as a key gauge of investor appetite for EU social bonds.

Investors' enthusiastic response to the new debt instruments, with their excellent credit rating and relatively attractive yields, all but guarantees that the EC will continue to issue successful SURE social bonds over the next twelve or so months. This is big news for the labeled bonds market.

The 800-pound gorilla

The labeled bond market has been growing rapidly for several years. With the EU's SURE bond program, however, this growth is set to explode. The October issue dwarfed all previous European social bond offers – the prior record-holder was a 10-year, €5-billion offering from the French agency CADES – and has already helped drive a record year for the industry in 2020.



Bloomberg. EU's Social Bonds Draw \$275 Billion to Set Global Demand Record. October 2020.

Should the EU's SURE program unfold as expected, the total issuance of EU social bonds could exceed any previous year's total issuance. This has the potential to reshape the market – the existence of a large pool of highly-rated social bond debt could bring new legitimacy to the market and act as a catalyst for increased activity by other sovereigns and agencies.

Intuition Know-How has a number of tutorials that are relevant to social bonds and the EU bond market:

- Bond Markets An Introduction
- European Bond Market
- ESG & SRI Primer
- ESG & SRI An Introduction
- ESG & SRI Investing
- ESG Factors
- SRI Strategies